

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 JASON BROWN,

11 Petitioner,

No. CIV S-05-0866 FCD EFB P

12 vs.

13 DIANA K. BUTLER, et al.,

14 Respondents.

ORDER

15 \_\_\_\_\_/  
16 On September 12, 2006, respondent filed a request for reconsideration of the  
17 magistrate judge's order filed August 31, 2006, denying respondent's motion for a more definite  
18 statement. Pursuant to E.D. Local Rule 72-303(f), a magistrate judge's orders shall be upheld  
19 unless "clearly erroneous or contrary to law." Upon review of the entire file, the court finds that  
20 it does not appear that the magistrate judge's ruling was clearly erroneous or contrary to law.

21 Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, upon reconsideration, the order of the  
22 magistrate judge filed August 31, 2006, is affirmed.

23 DATED: September 13, 2006

24  
25 /s/ Frank C. Damrell Jr.  
26 FRANK C. DAMRELL JR.  
United States District Judge

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26